#### § 52.1876

14, 1982. The following sources located in Summit County are required to achieve the secondary SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS by January 4, 1983: Diamond Crystal Salt; Firestone Tire & Rubber Co.; General Tire & Rubber Co.; General Tire & Rubber; B.F. Goodrich Co.; Goodyear Aerospace Corp.; Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co.; Chrysler Corp.; PPG Industries Inc.; Seiberling Tire & Rubber; Terex Division of General Motors Corp.; Midwest Rubber Reclaiming; Kittinger Supply Co. The boiler of PPG Industries, Inc. located in Summit County must achieve attainment of the secondary SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS by August 25, 1983. The Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant in Pike County is required to attain the secondary SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS by November 5, 1984. The Ohio Power Company Galvin Plant located in Gallia County is required to attain the secondary SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS by August 25, 1985.

[61 FR 16062, Apr. 11, 1996]

## §52.1876 [Reserved]

### § 52.1877 Control strategy: Photochemical oxidants (hydrocarbons).

- (a) The requirements of Subpart G of this chapter are not met because the Ohio plan does not provide for the attainment and maintenance of the national standard for photochemical oxidants (hydrocarbons) in the Metropolitan Cincinnati interstate region by May 31, 1975.
- (b) The requirements of §52.14 are not met by Rule 3745–21–09(N)(3) (a) and (e); Rule 3745–21–09(Z)(1)(a); Rule 3745–21–10, Section G; and Rule 3745–21–10, Section H, because these Ohio Rules do not provide for attainment and maintenance of the photochemical oxidant (hydrocarbon) standards throughout Ohio.
- (1) USEPA is disapproving new exemptions for the use of cutback asphalt [(Rule 3745-21-09(N)(3) (a) and (e)], because Ohio did not provide documentation regarding the temperature ranges in the additional two months that the State permits the use of cutback asphalts, and a lack of training is not sufficient reason for the 1000 gallons exemptions.
- (2) USEPA is disapproving Section V [Rule 3745-21-09(V)], because it contains an alternative leak testing proce-

dure for gasoline tank trucks which USEPA finds to be unapprovable.

- (3) USEPA is disapproving exclusion of the external floating roof (crude oil) storage tanks from the secondary seal requirement [Rule 3745–21–09(Z)(1)(a)], because Ohio has not demonstrated that the relaxation would not interfere with the timely attainment and maintenance of the NAAQS for ozone.
- (4) USEPA is disapproving compliance test method Section G, [Rule 3745–21–10] as an alternative leak testing procedure for gasoline tank trucks, because such action on Section G, is consistent with USEPA's action on Rule 3745–21–09(V), which USEPA finds to be unapprovable.
- (5) USEPA is disapproving compliance test method Section H, [Rule 3745–21–10], which involves a pressure test of only the vapor recovery lines and associated equipment. Compliance test method Section H is inconsistent with USEPA's control technique guidances and with tank truck certification regulations that are in effect in 19 other States. In addition, OEPA has presented no acceptable evidence demonstrating why this rule constitutes RACT.

[38 FR 30974, Nov. 8, 1973, as amended at 39 FR 13542, Apr. 15, 1974; 51 FR 40676, Nov. 7, 1986; 54 FR 1940, Jan. 18, 1989]

#### §52.1878 [Reserved]

# §52.1879 Review of new sources and modifications.

- (a) The requirements of sections 172, 173, 182, and 189 for permitting of major new sources and major modifications in nonattainment areas for ozone, particulate matter, sulfur dioxide, and carbon monoxide are not met, because Ohio's regulations exempt source categories which may not be exempted and because the State has not adopted the new permitting requirements of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 in a clear or enforceable manner.
  - (b) [Reserved]
- (c) The requirements of §51.161 of this chapter are not met because the State failed to submit procedures providing for public comment on review of new or modified stationary sources.